UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

GPNE CORP.,

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No.: 12-CV-02885-LHK

VERDICT FORM

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 20, 2014

LUCY HOOH United States District Judge

Case No.: 12-CV-02885-LHK

VERDICT FORM

When answering the following questions and filling out this Verdict Form, please follow the directions provided throughout the form. Your answer to each question must be unanimous. Some of the questions contain legal terms that are defined and explained in detail in the Jury Instructions. Please refer to the Jury Instructions if you are unsure about the meaning or usage of any legal term that appears in the questions below.

We, the jury, unanimously agree to the answers to the following questions and return them under the instructions of this Court as our verdict in this case.

I. INFRINGEMENT

1. For each of the following products, has GPNE proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Apple has infringed Claim 44 of the '492 patent as to GPRS:

Please answer in each cell with "Infringed" (for GPNE) or "Not infringed" (for Apple). GPNE does not accuse the below Apple products of infringement of Claim 44 of the '492 patent as to LTE.

Apple Product	Literal Infringement (GPRS only)	Doctrine of Equivalents (GPRS only)
iPhone 4 (A1332)	Not infringed	Not infringed
iPhone 4S (A1387)	Not infringed	Not infringed
iPhone 5 (A1428)	Not infringed	Not infringed
iPad 2 (A1396)	Not infringed	Not infringed
iPad 3 (A1430)	Not infringed	Not infringed
iPad mini (A1454)	Not infringed	Not infringed

2.7

2. For each of the following products, has GPNE proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Apple has infringed Claim 19 of the '954 patent as to GPRS:

Please answer in each cell with "Infringed" (for GPNE) or "Not infringed" (for Apple). GPNE does not accuse the below Apple products of infringement of Claim 19 of the '954 patent as to LTE.

Apple Product	Literal Infringement (GPRS only)	Doctrine of Equivalents (GPRS only)
iPhone 4 (A1332)	Not infringed	Not infringed
iPhone 4S (A1387)	Not infringed	Not infringed
iPhone 5 (A1428)	Not infringed	Not infringed
iPad 2 (A1396)	Not infringed	Not infringed
iPad 3 (A1430)	Not infringed	Not infringed
iPad mini (A1454)	Not infringed	Not infringed

3. For each of the following products, has GPNE proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Apple has infringed Claim 22 of the '954 patent as to GPRS and/or LTE:

Please answer in each cell with "Infringed" (for GPNE) or "Not infringed" (for Apple). Do not provide an answer for any cell that is blacked out.

Apple Product.		Literal Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents
iPhone 4 (A1332)	GPRS LTE	Not infringed	Not infringed
iPhone 4S (A1387)	GPRS	Notinfringed	Not infringed
iPhone 5 (A1428)	LTE GPRS	Not infringed	Not infringed
	LTE GPRS	Not infringed Not infringed	The many of
iPhone 5 (A1429)	LTE	Not infringed	
iPad 2 (A1396)	GPRS LTE	Notinfringed	Not infringed
iPad 3 (A1430)	GPRS LTE	Not infringed Not infringed	Not infringed
iPad 3 (A1403)	GPRS	Not intringed	
iPad mini (A1454)	LTE GPRS	Not infringed	Ald inclaimed
·	LTE	Not infringed Not infringed	Not infringed
iPad mini (A1455)	GPRS LTE	Not infringed	

II. INVALIDITY

4. Has Apple proven by clear and convincing evidence that GPNE's patent claims are invalid?

Please answer in each cell with "Invalid" (for Apple) or "Valid" (for GPNE).

GPNE Asserted Claim	Invalid or Valid
'954 patent, Claim 19	Valid
'954 patent, Claim 22	Valid
'492 patent, Claim 44	Valid

III. DAMAGES (IF APPLICABLE)

If you find that Apple infringed any valid claim of the asserted patents, you must then determine the amount of money damages to be awarded to GPNE to compensate GPNE for Apple's infringement.

5. What is the total dollar amount that GPNE is entitled to receive from Apple on the claims on which you have ruled in favor of GPNE?

\$_	

6. For the total dollar amount in your answer to Question 5, please indicate whether you calculated the amount based on a lump sum royalty or a per unit royalty by writing down either "lump sum royalty" or "per unit royalty" on the line below.



If you answered "lump sum royalty" to Question 6, you do not need to answer Question 7. If you answered "per unit royalty" to Question 6, please answer Question 7.

Case No.: 12-CV-02885-LHK

VERDICT FORM

7. For the "per unit royalty," please provide the dollar breakdown for each product in the chart below.

Apple Product	Dam	ages (in to	otal dollars)
iPhone 4 (A1332)	\$	1	A
iPhone 4S (A1387)	\$	N	A
iPhone 5 (A1428)	\$	N	A
iPhone 5 (A1429)	\$	N	A
iPad 2 (A1396)	\$	N	A
iPad 3 (A1430)	\$	NI	A
iPad 3 (A1403)	\$	N	A
iPad mini (A1454)	\$	N	A
iPad mini (A1455)	\$	N,	A

The individual amounts in each cell of Question 7 should add up to the total you have listed in your answer to Question 5.

You have now reached the end of the verdict form and should review it to ensure it accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. The Presiding Juror should then sign and date the verdict form in the spaces below and notify the Bailiff that you have reached a verdict. The Presiding Juror should retain possession of the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brought back into the courtroom.