



Grand Chamber hearing on Internet portal's liability for offensive comments posted by its readers

The European Court of Human Rights is holding a **Grand Chamber** hearing today **Wednesday 9 July 2014 at 9.15 a.m.** in the case of **Delfi AS v. Estonia** (Application no. 64569/09)

The case concerns the liability of an Internet news portal for offensive comments that were posted by its readers.

The hearing will be broadcast from 2.30 p.m. on the Court's Internet site (www.echr.coe.int). After the hearing the Court will begin its deliberations, which will be held in private. Its ruling in the case will, however, be made at a later stage.

The applicant, Delfi AS, is a public limited company registered in Estonia. It owns one of the largest internet news sites in the country.

In January 2006, Delfi published an article on its webpage about a ferry company. It discussed the company's decision to change the route its ferries took to certain islands. This had caused ice to break where ice roads could have been made in the near future. As a result, the opening of these roads – a cheaper and faster connection to the islands compared to the ferry services – was postponed for several weeks. Below the article, readers were able to access the comments of other users of the site. Many readers had written highly offensive or threatening posts about the ferry operator and its owner.

The owner of the ferry company sued Delfi in April 2006, and successfully obtained a judgment against it in June 2008. The Estonian court found that the comments were defamatory, and that Delfi was responsible for them. The owner of the ferry company was awarded 5,000 kroons in damages (around 320 euros). An appeal by Delfi was dismissed by Estonia's Supreme Court in June 2009. In particular, the domestic courts rejected the portal's argument that, under EU Directive 2000/31/EC on Electronic Commerce, its role as an Internet society service provider or storage host was merely technical, passive and neutral, finding that the portal exercised control over the publication of comments.

Relying on Article 10 (freedom of expression) of the European Convention on Human Rights, Delfi complains that the Estonian civil courts found it liable for comments written by its readers.

Procedure

The application was lodged with the European Court of Human Rights on 4 December 2009.

In its Chamber [judgment](#) of 10 October 2013 the Court held, unanimously, that there had been no violation of Article 10 (freedom of expression) of the European Convention. It found that the finding of liability by the Estonian courts had been a justified and proportionate restriction on the portal's right to freedom of expression, in particular, because: the comments were highly offensive; the portal had failed to prevent them from becoming public, profited from their existence, but allowed their authors to remain anonymous; and, the fine imposed by the Estonian courts had not been excessive. On 9 January 2014 Delfi asked for the case to be referred to the Grand Chamber in accordance with Article 43 of the Convention¹ (referral before the Grand Chamber). On 17 February 2014 the Grand Chamber Panel [accepted](#) Delfi's request.

¹ Under Article 43 of the European Convention on Human Rights, within three months from the date of a Chamber judgment, any party to the case may, in exceptional cases, request that the case be referred to the 17-member Grand Chamber of the Court. In that event, a

Composition of the Court

The case will be heard by a Grand Chamber, composed as follows:

Dean **Spielmann** (Luxembourg), *President*,
 Josep **Casadevall** (Andorra),
 Guido **Raimondi** (Italy),
 Ineta **Ziemele** (Latvia),
 Mark **Villiger** (Liechtenstein),
 Boštjan M. **Zupančič** (Slovenia),
 András **Sajó** (Hungary)
 Ledi **Bianku** (Albania),
 Nona **Tsotsoria** (Georgia),
 Işıl **Karakaş** (Turkey),
 Vincent A. **de Gaetano** (Malta),
 Angelika **Nußberger** (Germany),
 Julia **Laffranque** (Estonia),
 Linos-Alexandre **Sicilianos** (Greece),
 Helena **Jäderblom** (Sweden),
 Robert **Spano** (Iceland),
 Jon Fridrik **Kjølbro** (Denmark), *judges*,
 Zdravka **Kalaydjieva** (Bulgaria),
 Paulo **Pinto de Albuquerque** (Portugal),
 Helen **Keller** (Switzerland), *substitute judges*,
 and also Michael **O’Boyle**, *Deputy Registrar*.

Representatives of the parties

Government

Maris **Kuurberg**, *Agent*,
 Meeli **Kaur** and Käti **Mägi**, *Advisers*;

Applicant

Villu **Otsmann** and Karmen **Turk**, *Counsel*.

This press release is a document produced by the Registry. It does not bind the Court. Decisions, judgments and further information about the Court can be found on www.echr.coe.int. To receive the Court’s press releases, please subscribe here: www.echr.coe.int/RSS/en or follow us on Twitter [@ECHRpress](https://twitter.com/ECHRpress).

Press contacts

echrpess@echr.coe.int | tel: +33 3 90 21 42 08

Tracey Turner-Tretz (tel: + 33 3 88 41 35 30)
 Céline Menu-Lange (tel: + 33 3 90 21 58 77)
 Nina Salomon (tel: + 33 3 90 21 49 79)
 Denis Lambert (tel: + 33 3 90 21 41 09)

The European Court of Human Rights was set up in Strasbourg by the Council of Europe Member States in 1959 to deal with alleged violations of the 1950 European Convention on Human Rights.

panel of five judges considers whether the case raises a serious question affecting the interpretation or application of the Convention or its protocols, or a serious issue of general importance, in which case the Grand Chamber will deliver a final judgment. If no such question or issue arises, the panel will reject the request, at which point the judgment becomes final. Otherwise Chamber judgments become final on the expiry of the three-month period or earlier if the parties declare that they do not intend to make a request to refer.